

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X-----
In re: : Chapter 11
: Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al., :
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)
-----X-----

**CLAIMANT'S RESPONSE TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOFS OF CLAIM
FILED BY RLI INSURANCE COMPANY**

TO: Honorable Robert D. Drain
United States Bankruptcy Judge

Claimant, RLI Insurance Company (hereinafter referred to as "RLI"), is Claimant on Proof of Claim No. 2539, filed on April 3, 2006. Claimant responds to the Debtor's objections as follows:

1. Debtor is objecting to Claim No. 2539 on the ground that it is not supported by the books and records of Debtor. The claim is filed as a contingent Proof of Claim in the sum of \$11,750,000.00.

2. As part of Claimant, RLI's, business, Claimant executes on behalf of the importer, bonds which are required by the United States Customs Service from entities importing goods into the United States.

3. Debtor, Delphi Corporation (hereinafter referred to as "Debtor"), along with its affiliates, as a regular part of its business, imports merchandise into the United States.

4. Prior to the commencement of the above-captioned Chapter 11 case, RLI, as surety, issued four (4) surety bonds (Bond No. 460313452, Effective Date – 12/9/03, Bond No. 460281360, Effective Date – 12/9/02, Bond No. 380207531, Effective Date – 8/31/02 and

Bond No. 990595071, Effective Date – 5/30/05), on behalf of the Debtor, in the above-captioned case. RLI is bound to pay bond obligations on behalf of Debtor Corporation to the U.S. Customs Service when so demanded.

5. Delphi Corporation was at the time of the commencement of this case, and still is contingently liable to RLI Insurance Company in the amount of the total of all bond penal sums, to wit: Fifteen Million Three Hundred Thousand and 00/100 (\$15,300,000.00) Dollars. RLI Insurance Company is filing its Amended Proof of Claim in the contingent amount of Fifteen Million Three Hundred Thousand and 00/100 (\$15,300,000.00) Dollars, represented as follows: Bond No. 460313452 – two (2) consecutive bond periods: 12/9/03 – 12/8/04 and 12/9/04 – 4/29/05 and Bond No. 460281360 – one (1) bond period: 12/9/02 – 12/8/03, in addition to the bonds referred to in RLI's original Proof of Claim, to wit, Bond No. 380207531-four (4) consecutive bond periods: 8/31/02 – 8/30/03, 8/31/03 – 8/30/04, 8/31/04 – 8/30/05 and 8/31/05 – present and Bond No. 990595071 – current bond period: 4/30/05 – present. In filing this claim, RLI Insurance Company reserves the right to further amend its proof of claim to include any other bond periods for which RLI Insurance Company may be liable.

6. The within bonds have been partially secured by a Letter of Credit, in the total amount of Three Million Five Hundred Fifty Thousand and 00/100 (\$3,550,000.00) Dollars, as follows: the original Letter of Credit issued by J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., on March 31, 2005, in the amount of One Million Five Hundred Thousand and 00/100 (\$1,500,000.00), Amendment No. 1 to Letter of Credit issued by J.P. Morgan Bank, N.A., on June 2, 2005, with an increase in the amount of Five Hundred Fifty Thousand and 00/100 (\$550,000.00) Dollars and Amendment No. 2 to Letter of Credit issued by J.P. Morgan Bank, N.A., on June 6, 2005, with an additional increase in the amount of One Million Five Hundred Thousand and 00/100 (\$1,500,000.00) Dollars.

7. Based upon the foregoing, RLI Insurance Company has filed its Proof of Claim in the contingent amount of Eleven Million Seven Hundred Fifty Thousand and 00/100 (\$11,750,000.00) Dollars.

Contingent Claim

8. Apparently, Debtor has objected to the "contingent" claim. However, a "claim", as defined by the Bankruptcy Code, is "a right to payment whether or not such right is reduced to judgment, liquidated, unliquidated, fixed, contingent, matured, unmatured, disputed, undisputed, legal, equitable, secured or unsecured." 11 U.S.C. § 101(4)(a). The purpose of Congress in adopting such a broad definition of claim was that "all legal obligations of the Debtor, no matter how remote or contingent, will be able to be dealt with in the bankruptcy case." House Report No. 595, 95th Cong. 2d. Sess. 309, reprinted in 1978 U.S.C. CAN 593, 6266.

9. As neither the Bankruptcy Code nor the Bankruptcy Rules establish any procedure for estimating a claim, the estimation of a claim is thus committed to the reasonable discretion of the bankruptcy court, which should employ whatever method is best suited to the circumstances of the case. In re Ralph Lauren Womenwear, Inc. 197 B.R. 771 (S.D.N.Y. 1996).

10. Although this situation presents a claim which may be difficult to estimate prior to the final confirmation of Debtor's Chapter 11 plan, the existence of such a claim would not impede confirmation, so long as the plan proposes a specific means of satisfying this claim when its value becomes fixed. In re McCall, 44 B.R. 242 (E.D. Pa. 1984).

Priority Status

11. Debtor has also apparently objected to Claimant's priority status. Therefore, Claimant remains liable to the United States Customs Service for the pre-petition entries occasioned by Debtor, even after the Debtor has been afforded a discharge of all pre-petition claims through Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

12. However, since American Casualty's Proof of Claim is in compliance with Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f), the Proof of Claim is presumptively valid unless the Debtor's objections are supported by substantial evidence. In re Hemingway Transport, Inc., 993 F.2d 915, 925 (1st Cir. 1993).

13. Claimant's priority status is mandated by 31 U.S.C. § 9309. That provision of the Code establishes that

When a person¹ required to provide a surety bond given to the United States Government is insolvent . . . the surety. . . paying the Government the amount due under the bond -- (1) has the same priority to amounts from the assets and estate of the person as are secured for the Government"

14. Under 31 U.S.C. § 9309, the priority status of a surety obligated to the Government on a U.S. Customs bond has been implicitly recognized. In In re I.C. Herman & Co., Inc., the Second Circuit acknowledged that if the claimant were a surety on the Customs bond, the claimant would be entitled to priority status under 31 U.S.C. § 193 (now amended as 31 U.S.C. § 9309). 497 F.2d 1301, 1302 (2d Cir. 1974). Thus, the one exception to 11 U.S.C. § 507(d), is the priority by subrogation for a surety on a bond required to be given to the Government pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 9309. In re I.C. Herman & Co., Inc., 497 F.2d at 1302, n. 3.

15. Admittedly, Claimant has not paid any Customs duties to the United States on behalf of Debtor. In fact, it appears that Debtor has been consistently paying its Customs

¹ "Person" as used in this chapter, includes a corporation. 31 U.S.C. § 9301(1).

duties of its own accord. This does not, however, alter the fact that should Debtor falter in its obligations for the pre-petition entries in question, Claimant, as surety on the Government bond, will then be obligated to pay the Customs duties on behalf of Debtor.

16. Any such payments by Claimant, as a result of its obligations as surety on the Customs bond, would be entitled priority status under 31 U.S.C. § 9309. It therefore follows that the claim for collateral security be allowed as a priority claim, since any distribution of the collateral to Customs, on behalf of Debtor, would be entitled to such treatment.

Wherefore, Claimant respectfully requests that this Court grant an Order allowing Claimant's Proof of Claim, and granting Claimant leave to move for an estimation of the Proof of Claim, with said funds to be deposited with Claimant and to be held as collateral with the right to sue such funds or any part thereof, at any time, in payment of compromise of any judgments, claims, liabilities, losses, damages and disbursements, and for other expenses assessed against Claimant, until such time as Debtor shall furnish to Claimant competent evidence of the termination of Claimant's liability under the bond. Claimant also requests that this Court award costs and disbursements of this action, together with such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper, in accordance with Section 502(c)(2) and other applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code.

Dated: New City, New York
July 11, 2007

LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL P. O'CONNOR

By:


MICHAEL P. O'CONNOR (MO 1550)
Attorney for Claimant
RLI Insurance Company

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re: : Chapter 11
: Case No. 05-44481(RDD)
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al., :
: (Jointly Administered)
Debtors. :
-----x

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Michael P. O'Connor, hereby certify that I am counsel for Claimant, RLI Insurance Company, in the above action, and that on July 11, 2007, I served a true copy of Claimant's Response to Debtor's Seventeenth Omnibus Claims Objection in connection with the Proof of Claim filed by RLI Insurance Company on the following parties hereto by electronic filing and by depositing the same with an overnight delivery service in a wrapper properly addressed. Said delivery was made prior to the latest time designated by the overnight delivery service for overnight delivery. The address and delivery service are indicated below:

SEE ANNEXED SERVICE LIST

Dated: July 11, 2007



MICHAEL P. O'CONNOR (MO1550)

DELPHI CORPORATION SERVICE LIST

1. Delphi Corporation
Attn.: General Counsel
5725 Delphi Drive
Troy, MI 48098
UPS Airbill #1Z V9R 715 01 9341 9478
2. John Wm. Butler, Jr., Esq.
John K. Lyons, Esq.
Joseph N. Wharton, Esq.
SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Attorneys for Debtors
333 West Wacker Drive
Suite 2100
Chicago, IL 60606
UPS Airbill #1Z V9R 715 01 9299 2887
3. Honorable Robert D. Drain, U.S.B.J.
United States Bankruptcy Court
Southern District of New York
One Bowling Green, Room 632
New York, New York 10004
UPS Airbill #1Z V9R 715 01 9486 6699

